National Association of Attorneys General

PRESIDENT Tim Fox Montana Attorney General

> PRESIDENT-ELECT Karl A. Racine District of Columbia Attorney General

VICE PRESIDENT Tom Miller Iowa Attorney General

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT Jeff Landry Louisiana Attorney General

> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Chris Toth

1850 M Street, NW Twelfth Floor Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 326-6000 https://www.naag.org/ July 1, 2020

The Honorable Paul R. Lawrence Under Secretary for Benefits U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs 810 Vermont Avenue NW Washington, DC 20420

RE: Rescission of the Veteran Service Officer (VSO) 48-Hour Review Policy

Dear Mr. Under Secretary:

The undersigned Attorneys General, write to express our strong concerns regarding the recent decision by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to rescind its decades-old policy of allowing accredited Veteran Service Officers (VSOs) a 48-hour period to review claims decisions prior to promulgation. The VA's internal procedures manual describes the purpose of VSO review as identifying any clear errors or matters of clarification that require significant discussion, and/or correction *prior* to promulgation.¹ The process for correcting those errors *after* promulgation is often lengthy and time consuming, and typically results in an increase in otherwise unnecessary appeals being filed in an already overburdened appeals system. Such appeals invariably result in further delays in veterans receiving the benefits they have already earned.

No less troubling is the VA's decision to implement this policy change in the midst of the ongoing COVID-19 crisis, when the VA, VSOs and veterans are already struggling to execute the existing process while working from remote locations utilizing reduced resources. Implementing a policy change of this significance, right now, would only lead to more confusion and frustration in the claims process.

We urge the VA to postpone any change to this long-standing policy until the VA, VSOs and veterans can have more thorough discussions regarding the VA's reasons for the change, the implications it will have on VSOs and veterans, and whether there are alternative solutions that do not call for complete elimination of this critical quality review.

¹ M21-1 VA Rater Handbook, Part I, Chapter 3, Section B, Paragraph 3(a) VSO Review of Rating Decisions (last visited online on May 8, 2020).

Sincerely,


Kwame Raoul Illinois Attorney General

art

Kevin G. Clarkson Alaska Attorney General



Aavier Becerra California Attorney General



Karl A. Racine District of Columbia Attorney General

l

Idaho Attorney General

him lt

Derek Schmidt Kansas Attornev General

anon the Fren

Aaron M. Frey

Massachusetts Attorney General

son & Ray

Jason R. Ravnsborg South Dakota Attorney General

agar

eral

Weiser 11

Colorado Attorney General

Hleen

Camacho Guam Attorney General

Tom Miller Iowa Attorney General

Daniel Cameron

Brian Frosh Maryland Attorney General

esto ana

Dana Nessel Michigan Attorney General

thellitar

Keith Ellison Minnesota Attorney General

Tim Fox **U** Montana Attorney General

Aaron D. Ford Nevada Attorney General

Hector Balderas New Mexico Attornev General

Josh Stein North Carolina Attorney General

re lot

Dave Yost Ohio Attornev General

Ellen F. Kosenblum Oregon Attorney General

Puerto Rico Attorney General

. Oson

Alan Wilson South Carolina Attorney General

Enic J. Schnick

Missouri Attorney General

Douglas Peterson Nebraska Attorney General

Gurbir S. Grewal New Jersey Attorney General

Letitia James V New York Attorney General

Wayne Stenenjem North Dakota Attorney General

Oklahoma Attorney General

Josh Shapiro Pennsylvania Attorney General

Peter F. Neronha Rhode Island Attorney General

Sean Reyes Utah Attorney General

vennom rational seneral

MAT W. F.

Washington Attorney General

Joshua L. Kal

Wisconsin Attorney General

Marr. Henny

PATPUCK Momser

West Virginia Attorney General

2

Gordon MacDonald New Hampshire Attorney General